# MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

FORTY-FIFTH DAY.

*Thursday, 30th April 1959.*

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore, at Thirty Minutes past Eight of the Clock.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER (SRI L. H. THIMMA BOVI, B. A.) in the Chair.

## STARRED QUESTIONS

**(to which oral answers were given.)**

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Question No. 86, Sri D. T. Seetharama Rao.

### Managing Secretaries in the Government Industrial Concerns.

*Q.—86. SRI D. T. SEETHARAMA RAO (Bhadravathi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of Government Industrial Concerns in which the Managing Secretaries are of the status of Revenue Sub-Division Officers as on 1st January 1959 (the details regarding the salary, allowances and other facilities granted to these officers in the above-said factories may be furnished) ;

(*b*) the communities to which these officers working as Secretaries belong ;

(*c*) out of them, the number of persons that belong to Backward Community ?

*A.—SRI B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) There are no officers working in the Government Industrial Concerns under the designation of Managing Secretaries who are of the status of the Revenue Sub-Division Officers as on 1st January 1959.

(*b*) Does not arise.

(*c*) Does not arise.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಟಿ. ಸೀತಾರಾಮರಾವ್.—ಸರ್ಕಾರೀ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳು ನಮ್ಮ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟಿವೆ ?

†ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾಲ ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ (ಸಹಕಾರ ಶಾಖೆಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು).—ಅಪು ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿವೆ. ಡಿಫನೆಟ್ಟಾಗಿ ಇಂತಿಂಥ ಕಡೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟೆಷ್ಟಿವೆ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ಆಗ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಬಹುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಟಿ. ಸೀತಾರಾಮರಾವ್.—ಸರ್ಕಾರೀ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಗಳಾಗಿರುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಸಬ್ ಡಿವಿಜನ್ ಆಫೀಸರರ ದರ್ಜೆಯವರಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾಲ ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಿರುವುದರ ಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜಿಂಗ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಗಳ ವಿಷಯ ಬಂದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ, ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜಿಂಗ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿ ಯಾರೂ ಇಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಬೇರೆ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಿದ್ದರೆ, ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆವು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಟಿ. ಸೀತಾರಾಮರಾವ್.—ಕೆಲವು ಸರ್ಕಾರೀ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರನ್ನು ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜಿಂಗ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿ ಎಂತಲೂ ಕರೆಯುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಭಾವನೆ ಅದಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾಲ ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆಯ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜರ್ ಎಂದೂ, ಮತ್ತೆ ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆಯ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿ ಎಂದೂ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ರೀತಿ ಇದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಕೇಳಿದ್ದರೆ, ಇತರ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತು.

### Amounts spent by Local Bodies for Elementary Education.

*Q.—310. SRI B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA (Mangalore).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the amounts spent by the various Local Bodies, District Boards,

†Indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the member concerned.

(SRI B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA)

Taluk Boards and Panchayat Boards in the State during the year 1957-58 and 1958-59 in the matter of imparting of Elementary Education and what assistance the Government gave to such Bodies for the expenses so incurred ;

(*b*) whether they intend to bear the whole expenditure on Elementary Education in future ?

*A.*—SRI T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—

(*a*) *Vide* statement appended.

(*b*) The question is under consideration of Government.

Statement showing the amounts spent by various Local Bodies, District Boards, Taluk Boards and Panchayat Boards during 1957-58 and 1958-59 (upto 31st January 1959) for imparting Elementary Education and the assistance given by Government.

| Sl. No. | Name of the Local Body | 1957-58 | | 1958-59 (upto 31-1-1959) | | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Amount spent on rimary Education Rs. | Government assistance (Grant) Rs. | Amount spent on Primary Education Rs. | Government assistance (Grant) Rs. | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| | *Bombay Karnatak* | | | | | |
| 1 | District School Board, Belgaum ... | 52,96,471 | 45,94,030 | 37,83,313 | 37,04,574 | Though these are Statutory Bodies these are not Local Bodies in the strict sense. These come under the category 'Local Education Authority. |
| 2 | Do Bijapur ... | 44,30,065 | 40,82,722 | 37,66,453 | 35,46,240 | |
| 3 | Do Dharwar ... | 43,50,627 | 35,61,670 | 35,23,820 | 34,57,238 | |
| 4 | Do N. Kanara ... | 21,69,276 | 21,66,276 | 18,00,934 | 16,94,218 | |
| 5 | Municipal School Board, Belgaum ... | 5,77,863 | 2,46,766 | 4,34,103 | 1,88,250 | |
| 6 | Do Bijapur ... | 3,55,874 | 1,73,442 | 2,47,791 | 1,05,291 | |
| 7 | Do Dharwar ... | 2,06,419 | 69,509 | 1,77,824 | 62,073 | |
| 8 | Do Hubli ... | 5,06,637 | 2,44,637 | 3,59,100 | 1,84,802 | |
| 9 | Do Gadag ... | 2,69,740 | 1,25,061 | 2,11,504 | 97,164 | |
| 10 | Cantonment Board, Belgaum ... | 28,865 | 5,596 | 25,756 | 4,443 | |

STATEMENT—(*Contd.*)

(SRI T. SUBRAMANYA)

| Sl. No. | Nome of the Local Body | 1957-58 Amount spent on Primary Education Rs. | 1957-58 Government Assistance (Grant) Rs. | 1958-59 (up to 31-1-1959) Amount spent on Primary Education Rs. | 1958-59 (up to 31-1-1959) Government assistance (Grant) Rs. | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| | *Bellary District* | | | | | |
| 11 | District Board, Bellary ... | 6,33,356 | 3,90,625 | 5,42,210 | 1,48,876 | Information for columns 4 and 6 not readily available. |
| 12 | Municipal Council, Hospet ... | 40,804 | ... | 48,129 | ... | |
| 13 | Municipal Council, Bellary ... | 59,680 | 35,098 | 57,022 | 33,593 | |
| 14 | Panchayat Board, Anjigeri ... | 1,823 | 1,104 | 1,789 | 1,789 | |
| | *Kollegal Taluk* | | | | | |
| 15 | District Board, Mysore ... | 1,79,649 | 3,50,000 | ‡43,958 | ... | ‡The aided Elementary Schools run by the District Board, Mysore have been taken over to Government control from 1st July 1958. The grant of Rs. 3.5 lakhs is a block grant for Elementary Schools as well as High Schools run by the District Board, Mysore. |

STATEMENT—(*Concld.*)

| Sl. No. | Name of the Local Body | 1957-58 | | 1958-59 (up to 31-1-1959) | | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Amount spent on Primary Education Rs. | Government assistance (Grant) Rs. | Amount spent on Primary Education Rs. | Government assistance (Grant) Rs. | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| | *Coorg District* | | | | | |
| 16 | Mercara Municipality ... | 28,088 | 9,000 | ... | 9,000 | Information for column 5 is not readily available. |
| 17 | Virajpet Municipality ... | 12,176 | 1,580 | ... | ... | Information for columns 5 and 6 are not readily available. |
| | *South Kanara District* | | | | | |
| 18 | District Board, South Kanara ... | 16,02,619 | 11,20,022-59 | 17,76,550-76 | 17,70,645 | |
| 19 | Udipi Municipality ... | 26,064 | 6,801 | 37,563 | 18,210 | |
| 20 | Mangalore Municipality ... | 2,65,393 | 1,28,889 | 1,97,121 | 59,930 | |
| 21 | Panchayat Schools ... | 81,190 | 77,050 | 76,863 | 1,42,000 | |
| | *Ex-Mysore* | | | | | |
| 22 | Corporation of Bangalore City ... | 1320,389-76 | ... | 1,61,881-70 | ... | No claim is preferred. |

*(The Hon'ble Member Sri B. Vaikunta Baliga got up to put the question, sitting in a different seat).*

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I rise to a point of order, Sir. Day before yesterday objection was taken when I tried to speak sitting in a different place. How does the Chair allow Sri Vaikunta Baliga to put the question sitting in a different place?

ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅವರು ಇವೊತ್ತು seat ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—So far as the integrating areas are concerned, several lakhs have been spent by the District Boards on elementary education while that expenditure is borne by the Government in other areas; as funds do not permit the District Boards to spend for this purpose will the entire expenditure be borne by Government?

†Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN (Deputy Minister for Finance).—So far as the integrated areas are concerned, they should be divided into two parts; one is Bombay area and the other is Madras area. So far as Bombay area is concerned, there is a School Board which is a statutary Body and the District Local Board gives 4/5 ths of the local fund cess and the remaining expenditure which comes to about 90 per cent of the total expenditure on primary education in that area is borne by Government. So far as Madras area is concerned, liberal grants are being given but the question whether those schools should be taken over by Government is under their consideration.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Since the resources of the District Boards are meagre and since it is not possible to bear 5 lakhs, will the Government consider the question of giving *ad hoc* grants?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—The question of giving *ad hoc* grants does not arise because what happens is these grants are regulated under particular Acts of the particular region. For example, in the Bombay region it is regulated under Primary Education Act; in the Madras region it is under a similar Act; so the question of *ad hoc* grant does not arise.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—If that is so why should another area be called upon to bear 5 lakhs?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—That is a question of policy. Whether a uniform system could be followed or not and whether in an area where the District Board is partly responsible for primary education the District Board could be relieved of that responsibility; or not Government is at it.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Will the mistake be set right?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—There is no mistake. The expenditure borne by the District Board is goverend by particular statutes and unless Government change their policy and take over the entire primary education in all the areas, the situation will remain as it is.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Does not the Minister think that it is an invidious distinction?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—The distinction is not invidious in the sense that the expenditure in the Bombay area to the extent of 90 per cent is borne by Government and in the rest of the area substantial grant is given. Of course there is a difference with regard to the expenditure but that could be eliminated by taking over all those schools by Government and that question is under consideration.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Are the Government aware that there is an Act called Elementary Education Act?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—Yes, Sir.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Is it not incumbent that every expenditure on elementary education should be borne by Government and not by any other body under the Act?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—If the member refers to the ex-Mysore State it is correct; but in the case of other areas, those Acts have a different set-up.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—What has the Government done to bring a uniform legislation in that behalf?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—I have already answered. Government is considering the question.

V-31 N 59
N 60-6-39-45
(B) 4789



Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—May I call the attention of the Hon'ble Minister to page 10? The District Board, Bellary, is spending twice the amount given by Government; similarly the Municipal Council, Hospet. May I know how soon the Government will be able to take over the primary education of this district to their hands?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—The question has two aspects; one is, with regard to the amount of expenditure. I think the impression that columns 5 and 6 in the statement give is not correct; because more information is not available. From this it cannot be inferred that the total amount shown here is spent either by the District Board, Bellary, or Municipal Council, Hospet.

So far as the other aspect namely uniform policy, is concerned, I have already answered this point.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ನ್ಯಾಮಿ 4 ಮತ್ತು 6ನೆಯ ಕಲಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೀರಿಯರ್ ನಂಬರು 12ರಲ್ಲಿ ಲಭ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡೋಣವಾಗಿದೆ. ಹೀಗೆ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದ ಕ್ಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—By District Board I meant to include other local bodies like the Municipality also.

Sri B. L. NARAYANASWAMY.—Why did not Government attempt to unify these several laws?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—Government has attempted. The attempt is not yet complete.

Sri B. L. NARAYANASWAMY.—How long would the process take?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—As soon as possible.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—I would like to know what the Deputy Minister means by saying that the attempt is not yet completed. When does it begin and when does it conclude?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—It is still in progress.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—The Hospet Municipality became part of Mysore State on 1st October 1953. Will the Government be able to take over elementary education by 1963, 1st October?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—It is difficult for me to answer.

---

## Construction of First Floor to the Adikarnataka Hostel, Chamarajanagar.

*Q.—351. Sri B. RACHIAH (Chamarajanagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether the former Chief Minister and the present Minister for Social Welfare visited the Adikarnataka Hostel, Chamarajanagar, on 6th November 1957;

(*b*) whether they have given an assurance to add a first floor to the existing building;

(*c*) whether it is not a fact that the Government have informed the President of the hostel that necessary provision has been made for construction of the first floor;

(*d*) if so, whether it has been sanctioned?

A.—Sri N. RACHIAH (Minister for Social Welfare, Excise and Prohibition).—

(*a*) & (*b*) Yes. They had promised to look into the matter.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) The construction of the first floor has been sanctioned by Government.

Sri B. RACHIAH.—What is the cost of the estimate?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—It is Rs. 62,000.

Sri B. RACHIAH.—May I know if both administrative and technical sanction have been given?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—Government have already given administrative sanction, but technical sanction has not yet been given.

Sri B. RACHIAH.—Can I expect work to be commenced at least this year?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—Government have already given Rs. 25,000 during last year but we are not aware whether this amount has been spent. It will be examined.